UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Roger Williamson

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation*, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. Plaintiff(s) **Roger Williamson** ("Plaintiff(s)") brings this action (check the applicable designation):

On behalf of himself.

				In reg	present the	ative capac injured	ity as the party,	(Injured	Party's	on behalf Name)
		Injured damage					d citizen o	f Pensacola	, Florida ar	nd claims
						_	-OR-			
						At the y, State)		ecedent's de	eath, Decede	ent was a
If any p	arty cla	ims los	s of co	nsorti	um,					
	3.	N	I/A	alle	ges da	mages for lo	oss of cons	ortium.		
		At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of (City, State)N/A								
	5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff resided in (City, State) N/A .								
В.	DEFE	NDAN	Γ(S)							
		Plaintif Injury (_	endants fro	m the Amer	ided Master	Personal
		a.	Brand	GLA GLA GLA BOE BOE PFIZ SAN SAN	XOSM XOSM XOSM HRING HRING ER, IN OFI-A OFI US HEON	IITHKLIN GER INGI GER INGI NC. VENTIS U S SERVIC	TE LLC TE (AMER TE PLC TELHEIM FELHEIM GELHEIM	ICA) INC. PHARMAC CORPORA' JSA CORPO G SERVICI	ΓΙΟΝ; ORATION	,
		b.	Gener	ic Ma	nufact	urers:				
		c.	Distri	butors	s and R	Repackager	:			

- d. Retailers:
- e. Others Not Named in the AMPIC: DOES 1-100

C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

II. PRODUCT USE

- 9. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine: [Check all that apply]
 - [X] By prescription
 - [X] Over the counter
- 10. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately (month, year) 01/1995-02/2020

III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of
that		Diagnosis
apply		
[X]	BLADDER CANCER	5/2020

BREAST CANCER
COLORECTAL CANCER
ESOPHAGEAL CANCER
GASTRIC CANCER
KIDNEY CANCER
LIVER CANCER
LUNG CANCER
PANCREATIC CANCER
PROSTATE CANCER
OTHER CANCER:
DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s).

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):¹

Check all that	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action
apply			was asserted in
			the AMPIC
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through	All States and
		Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		Manufacturer Defendants)	DE, IA, MA, NC,
			PA, and VA
	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings	All States and
		and Precautions (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		Manufacturer Defendants)	LA, NJ, OH, and
			WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through	All States and
		Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and	Territories, Except
		Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	_

¹ In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check Count all that		Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action	
apply			was asserted in the AMPIC	
			DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA	
	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA	
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA	
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA	
	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA	
	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX	
	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories	
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories	
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories	
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only	
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only	
	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories	
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories	
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories	

If Count XV	or Count XVI is a	lleged, addition	nal facts suppo	rting the claim((s):

V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

DATED: 12th date of May, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ Adam Pulaski
Adam Pulaski
adam@pulaskilawfirm.com
Bret Stanley
bstanley@pulaskilawfirm.com
Marlo Fischer
marlo@pulaskilawfirm.com
2925 Richmond Avenue, Suite 1725
Houston, TX 77098
Telephone: 713-664-4555

Telephone: 713-664-4555 Facsimile: 713-664-7543

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF